

Tender No.: HORC/HRIDC/PSC-01/2023
Reference: Specific Procurement Notice dated 07.10.2023.

Contract Package PSC-01: Manufacture and Supply of Pre-stressed Mono Block Concrete Line Sleepers conforming to RDSO Drg. No. T-8746 in connection with laying of New BG Double Railway Line from Prithla to New Harsana Kalan of Haryana Orbital Rail Corridor (HORC) Project.

PSC-01 : Reply to Pre-Tender Queries _ 03.11.2023

S.No	Reference to Tender Document (Clause/ Para No. & Page No.)	Brief Description of Clause/ Para No. (As quoted by the prospective Tenderer)	Query Raised	Reply of HRIDC
1	Tender No HORC/HRIDC/PSC-01/2023 Date: 07.10.2023 Due on 22.11.2023.	—	<p>We have gone through the tender document and draw your kind attention towards recent Railway Board guidelines regarding procurement of Sleepers along with fastening system from single source to have a single point (that is the single supplier) for the performance of the items procured.</p> <p>With reference to the RB guidelines and in view of having advantage of guarantee from single source, we request you to consider the procurement of Sleepers along with Rail clips and other items of fastening system also in the scope of work of the present tender and to be made part of BOQ of the tender.</p>	Tender conditions remain unchanged
2	—	—	<p>In tender eligibility it is given to understand that we have to clarify the position of litigations/arbitrations of the tenderers 28 days prior to the date of closing of tender.</p> <p>We would like to suggest that it should be minimum 28 days and maximum as on the date of closing of tender for better evaluation of tender by the Purchaser.</p>	Tender conditions remain unchanged
3	—	—	<p>PSC01RFP//Page No. 59//Section III – Evaluation and Qualification Criteria//Section 3.2.3 states that :</p> <p>"Tenderer's financial position and prospective long-term profitability still sound according to criteria established in 3.3.1 (ii) below and assuming that all pending litigation and arbitration will be resolved against the Tenderer."</p> <p>We would like to suggest that if any Award/Decision is passed in the matter then the amount of award/decision shall be taken for the purposes of calculation of final position of profitability even if the matter is pending at higher forum/court. The amount of dispute should not be taken but the amount of Last/Latest Award should be taken.</p> <p>This will give you a balanced and more realistic final position of the tenderer.</p>	Tender conditions remain unchanged
4	—	—	<p>You have decided to reduce the amount of dispute from the tenderer's net worth assuming that all the awards will be against the tenderer. In our suggestion the amount of Award in Favour of the Tenderer should be added to the net worth too.</p>	Tender conditions remain unchanged
5	—	—	<p>We suggest and request that the total quantity of the tender be split between 2-3 suppliers to ensure better supplies to HRIDC. It can be split in 60:40 Ratio between 2 suppliers.</p>	Tender conditions remain unchanged